

# **Can Hillary Clinton, the Idiot Savant of American Lawyerhood, even begin to fix this Nation?**

*(By the way Idiot=Dumb and Savant=Very Smart)*

How does Hillary Clinton fit into this picture and can she even begin to make the necessary changes to our legal system? If you want to know if and how then read on.

The legal profession is possibly the oldest of all real professions, except for those small and diverse political power groups which preceded them but that is another story as that group could never really claim to be professional. Therefore, the legal profession as a group has always been the most powerful and was so primarily due to the fact that in order to maintain its control of real power the membership it created its own very successful rules. These strict membership rules were always maintained in order for the accepted members to be part of a group which continuously maintained real control of the masses. Once the general public finally understands this the controls owned by the legal systems will be questioned and inevitably changed. Due to the size, complexity and costs related the time for real change is now. So, here we go.

## Skills Required

*The Idiot Savants:* To some of us it is absolutely amazing to learn what it is that creates in someone the supposed skills necessary to be given the seemingly spiritual validation credentials of a lawyer. Take a look at the law schools found in almost every industrialized nation. Approximately, a little less than half their education time is spent on learning about the laws themselves. They learn of the laws which were created from history, you know that common laws along with the laws based upon former trial and jury decisions, as well as many of the government created statute laws. After learning about that which is the basis for their work they will have to learn what is needed to make certain they appear to be geniuses or at least the ones who really are in control during any hearing, jury trial, etc. The better one can both memorize what to say and how to say it the better off they can be as a lawyer. *The Simpleton Learned Ones:* Obviously, the legal controlling approaches accepted a few millenniums ago were also highlighted in the U.S Constitution. There was no question as to who would really know the most in this nation and the creation of a Supreme Court was made to prove that this close knit profession was the most important. This court's creation made it possible for the best nine of these so-called geniuses and that was those who were the best at proving they met the highest level of legal skill requirements, to be given their god-given rights to make all the legal decisions for the American people whether the population numbered a few thousand or a few hundred million. *The Limited Brilliance Group:* Wait one minute, are they that brilliant? Well, no! It is very obvious that this "control by the few" concept is terribly biased and needs major reworking if a fair and logical legal system is to exist for the people. Now, the proper level of skills required in the more logical legal system defined below would indirectly also result in many changes being made at all levels of government where there also is currently a significant control of the people. Adoption of a more rational value adding skills test in all parts of the US Government would result in

the creation of a “Good New USA”. When that happens both the Idiot Savants of Lawyerhood and American Government would be no more.

### General Legal System Fixes Needed for the U.S.A.

Many of those who pay for legal costs, both at the personal and corporate levels, have come to see a major investment return problem when it comes to their applicable headache. Those who pay the bills have the problem but not those who receive payments. Obviously, the large legal group within our society has proven to be professionally questionable when stating that they are best value adding members of our society and therefore deserve to reap the greatest financial harvests. Knowing this do you think that a new law defining “Proven Value Adding Legal Service Required” is a must? If you don’t then take a look at the fact that most legal professionals work together regardless of which side of the case they are on. As a result very few of these professionals lose when it comes to money being made. So how can a group this large, whose professionals really never make a business decision where there are both winners and losers, forever make so much money? Hurts doesn’t it? Well, even worse is the fact that if the populations were not to grow in most nations their numbers in this profession will always expand anyway. Now the U.S.A. may be at the top of this professional status failure. The fact that many of those in the U.S. House and Senate are lawyers and in the last decade two Presidents were lawyers should have the rest of us up in arms or at least protesting what has really begun to hurt the rest of us. Obviously, it takes great acting skills and almost zero management skills to be successful in Washington, D.C. Unfortunately, acting does not solve real problems. Once in power the worst part of this limited real skills issue is the fact that the majority of elected officials are more focused on legalities and they always want to add more laws to the American system of government. Sadly, instead of taking a business approach and actually solving problems at lower costs by reducing the number of laws to a more logical and enforceable level, this group of savants wants more laws in order for them to retain control or at least the image thereof. How did the concept of a legal system, that is supposed to be there for the good of the people, ever go so wrong and be so misguided?

When reviewed objectively there are two major areas that led to the failure of the nationalized legal system concept throughout the world. First there has been the creation of an overly complex and therefore out of control legal system in almost every nation, but the worst most likely is the U.S.A. where there are similar but different laws for each state as well as at a national level supposedly for dealing with the exact same issues. As mentioned earlier the reason for this duplication and overlapping is that the more difficult it is to learn and thereby understand the more it works in favor of those in the profession. The greater the number of laws created the more important lawyers became and the more money they would make. Also and what should be of far greater importance to Americans is the fact that when having to deal properly with their legal system’s failure those in the profession are not on the taxpayer’s side. It is terribly obvious that if the American legal experts ever wanted to work towards the development of a far less complex legal system via a standardized national set of laws which would supersede any state laws each with preset penalties for every crime, the costs to operate such a system would be far less and the taxpayers who are the only ones who pay for all legal costs

would actually win. Oh and of equal importance would be the fact that only laws which are needed today would be included thereby eliminating all of the past and no longer necessary laws which simply add to the cost of the current system. This approach would require the rewriting of the American legal text on a regular basis. Here a regular basis would mean that based on today's world societal changes which are occurring every day it would be best to reset the standard legal system refreshment period to no more than 50 years and possibly with an increased rate of changes in society even less time between refreshment. Obviously, the biggest losers here would be those in the profession and here is why they would fight this concept.

### Who Will Fight Fixing the Out of Control American Legal System

One would think that the answer would seem to be very obvious when asking the following "Why is it that the American legal experts of today never want to take a fresh look at a system as old as that which most other nations also currently use when it comes to their legal system?" however, it is not. In the USA there seems to be a reason for keeping in use and without any questions related to possible improvement of a legal system that which is actually over 200 years old, particularly when the basic processing principles are reviewed. That is why you will see hundreds of large legalese books in the average lawyer's office and even more when you get to a medium or large legal firm. Bigger and more books hint at the owners being that much smarter which in turn is supposed to mean their services are worth more money. The more complex the legal system can be made or at least appear to be the more those in charge of it, that is every lawyer and judge, can make either as a salary or via higher billing charges for a required service. Again, this is the highest ranked profession in the world due to its complexity and with a more complex system more individuals are required, more time is needed to process even a hearing, more rules can be added to increase the number of experts required and so on and so on and so on. Some of us should have listened to our parents and have gone to law school and thereby joined this elite group. These legal system elitists enjoy both far more money and political power than the average taxpayers of the nation. The rest of us, who make up the lower valued workers of the world, simply must pay the bills. No one in the entire American legal system ever wants to simplify this out of control self focused system. They all know that any cleanup focus of their way of doing business will result in significant changes to their system so why should they have to either lower their incomes or even worse find a job that requires real productive labor and adds proven value to another part of the American society?

### Rewriting That Which Has Such Power and Control

The legal experts as a group might not be interested in improving their services and also lowering their personal benefit costs to the rest of America but some of us feel that now is the time for such a change. It seems that there is little value in the concept of change when it comes to almost every area of American government including the current unquestioned legal system even in a time of possibly total economic failure. It is very sad that probably every person on the current American Judicial System payroll thinks that they should be exempt from having to give up anything even in the event the entire nation

goes bankrupt. Wait a minute . . . Is the American legal system a separate entity which should not be subject to any change for any reason except if those in charge can increase their stature and incomes? No! The American legal system today is actually a part of the American economic system, both indirectly (that includes every person in this system who is on some government payroll) and directly (that includes every non-government legal person, group, corporation) and therefore should not be looked at as being any different from any other government agency or non-government business group. Remember, governments like most large and previously successful businesses never want change. But things must change if we intend to improve life in this nation for our children. The U.S.A. is not alone are there are many things that should be done when dealing with the out of control legal systems in almost every nation before it is too late? However, a growing number of American taxpayers want changes to these seemingly insulated costs and here are a few ideas as to what and how things can be properly changed for them:

1. Eliminate the existing legal complexity of the entire American legal system first by reducing the current number and size of all legal documents. In the end the goal must be to eliminate 95% of the existing legal texts.
2. Eliminate all unnecessary laws like the horse related restrictions designed in the 1800's thereby simplifying the entire system.
3. Standardize all laws at a national level with a jurisdiction reset where these laws supersede any related state laws and thereby eliminate much of the unnecessary differences that exist between the states within the nation.
4. Create a single legal book defining all of the new laws of the nation as needed in today's world. This would without any doubt result in a new American Law Book of a couple of hundred pages and no more. The basis for this book is to have explicit laws that eliminate the need for references to previous cases and settlements. This rewriting of all the laws would not only eliminate all of the old legal reference texts as it would at the same time take wind out of the rhetoric of those in the current American legal profession thereby lowering costs and also bring back control of the legal system to those who pay for all of it – the working taxpayer.
5. Reduce the power of the judges to make certain they can never interpret the laws but simply make certain that the judicial system is fair and equitable as defined by the taxpayers and the rules related to enforcing these new laws are followed properly.
6. Finally, a newly structured Supreme Court must be created not to interpret the laws but to make certain that a law exists for any particular circumstance and, if it does not exist, notify the taxpayers

of the U.S.A. of this and recommend the different options that the taxpayers might consider when creating a new law.

### The World of the Legal Idiot Savant

When it comes to current lawyers and judges you actually have some of the world's highest potential intelligence individuals. However, based on many political brain studies and current political valuation approaches, these are primarily individuals who do not know much when it comes to the real world. Practically every lawyer in today's world would not want to develop a legal system with lower operating costs for legal issue processing. Just like their legal fathers of the past they prefer to have a very complex system of legalese that can frighten almost every taxpayer. This fear has in the past allowed for the unquestionable and internally controlled growth within the profession which resulted in an ever-increasing paycheck for those who are part of it. As the American legal system continued to grow, for the same reasons all other levels of American governments seemed to grow, those in their profession, the lawyers and judges seemed to turn to more acting than that which should be considered to be real professional development. Those who really know the profession also know that their ongoing Hollywood approach to dealing with the nation's laws leaves a lot to be desired. Without question those in the current American legal profession add less value to today's society than their leaders did even one generation ago and yet they are better off as at the same time they draw much higher salaries and benefits. The existing American legal profession is over valued and certainly over priced and needing change.

### Where do we need to go from here?

Let us begin to accept the fact that our lives today are much different than the lives of those who initiated the legal system currently operating in the U.S.A. Let those of us who must pay for the services of the legal profession, those who work outside of government and the legal system, set the rules by which the legal experts must play. Let us redesign a modern legal system and its texts in such a way that we look from today forward and not continue to live in the past. Those who pay for the services can win. Continuous simplification and standardization of legalese and laws will not only lower our costs for such services but this will also substantially reduce the need for so many of these Idiot Savants of Lawyerhood.

Well can the Clintons do any of this? Oh, by the way Bill and Hillary are or, excuse me, were lawyers. So, how do you feel about those Clintons as they both fit comfortably into their version of our democracy as they have both proven to be very expensive idiot savants and want to retain control of the rest of us. Finally, do you think we need even one more from this profession to be any of our elected official offices or is it finally time to move on to a better world where the voters are no longer subject to this profession's misrepresentations?

© 2016 All rights reserved